

# Improved running economy in elite runners after 20 days of moderate simulated altitude exposure

Saunders PU, Telford RD, Pyne DB, Cunningham RB, Gore CJ, Hahn AG, Hawley JA. *J Appl Physiol.* 2003 Nov [Epub ahead of print]

**Abstract** To investigate the effect of altitude exposure on running economy (RE), 22 elite distance runners ( $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$   $72.8 \pm 4.4 \text{ ml}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ; training volume  $125 \pm 27 \text{ km}\cdot\text{wk}^{-1}$ ) homogenous for  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  and training volume were assigned to one of three groups; live-high (simulated altitude 2000-3100 m) train-low (natural altitude 600 m; LHTL,  $n=10$ ), live-moderate train-moderate (natural altitude 1500-2000 m; LMTM,  $n=10$ ) or live-low train-low (natural altitude 600 m; LLTL,  $n=13$ ) for a period of 20 d. RE was assessed during three sub-maximal treadmill runs at 14, 16 and 18  $\text{km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$  prior to and at the completion of each intervention.  $\text{O}_2$  consumption ( $\text{VO}_2$ ), ventilation (VE), respiratory exchange ratio (RER), heart rate (HR) and blood lactate concentration ( $\text{La}$ ) were determined during the final 60 s of each run, while haemoglobin mass (Hbmass) was measured on a separate occasion.  $\text{VO}_2$  ( $\text{L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ) averaged across the three sub-maximal running speeds was 3.3% lower ( $p=0.005$ ), after LHTL compared with either LMTM or LLTL. VE, RER, HR and Hbmass were not significantly different after the three interventions. There was no evidence of an increase in ( $\text{La}$ ) after the LHTL intervention suggesting that the lower aerobic cost of running was not attributable to an increased anaerobic energy contribution. Furthermore, the improved RE could not be explained by a decrease in VE, by preferential use of carbohydrate as a metabolic substrate, nor was it related to any change in Hbmass. We conclude that 20 d LHTL at simulated altitude improved the RE of elite distance runners.